## Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

classroom setting.

1. Summary information	'n				
School	Fairfield Prin	mary School			
Academic Year	2017-2018	Total PP budget	£34,124	Date of most recent PP Review	Nov 2017
Total number of pupils	345	Number of pupils eligible for PP	30	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2018

Cost

£1000 for training.

£ 13,800 staffing

	Desired outc	comes and how they will	be measured		
Α.	Disadvantaged starting points in		n line with or above their peers (nationally) from	similar	
В.	Disadvantaged starting points in		n line with or above their peers (nationally) from	similar	
C.	Improved social	I skills, self- esteem and confi	dence.		
D.	Increased levels	s of participation in outside th	e classroom activities ( forest schools/music/club	os)	
Acade	emic year	2017-2018			
Desire	ed outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead
are mak line with peers (r similar s	intaged pupils sing progress in or above their nationally) from starting points in and writing.	Improved writing attainment.Staff training on talk for writing for non- fiction writing.To continue to employ staff to support high quality	By analysing the data it was clear that there has been a positive impact on writing from 63% of pupils making 6 or above steps progress in 2015-201 to 71.4% of pupils making 6 or more steps progress in 2016-2017. This is still not at	INSET for talk for writing delivered by Sue Cove in October 2017. Monitoring through work scrutiny. Lesson observations will show the	JG/HB

work on writing is required.

ar	rack progress half termly nd carry out pupil rogress meetings.	Staff need training for talk for writing to ensure that the impact on pupil progress is effective. Additional in class support will increase pupil progress.	Half termly assessments will be undertaken and analysed. Pupil progress meetings will identify those pupils who are not making sufficient progress.		
at Idu ma pr wi su KS Idu pu ac in Tr ar	nproved phonic ttainment. lentify pupils who are not haking at least expected rogress and provide them ith additional upport/intervention across S1 and KS2. lentify those more able upils in EYFS and give dditional phonic teaching KS1. rack progress half termly nd carry out pupil rogress meetings.	Analysis of last year's phonic results revealed the need to focus on phonics to provide additional training for all staff to ensure they are fully trained to teach phonics.	Analysis of pupil progress to take place half termly. Pupil progress meetings to take place to identify further need which may result in additional phonic intervention. Drop ins and lesson observations.	RE	Training £500 Support staff- 4 mornings a week £1,140.
Total budgeted cost					£16,440

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	
To diminish the difference between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged children in reading, writing and maths.	All pupil premium children will have an individual profile which identifies their barriers to learning. After identifying needs intervention programmes will be devised and focussed support provided. This may be in class support or small group/1:1 intervention. TA in class support across both KS1 and KS2 to support high quality teaching in the classroom. There will also be intervention sessions delivered outside the normal hours of the school day.	Children are making at least expected progress.	Each child will have their own profile which identifies their barriers to learning/needs. A plan is organised to support each child accordingly. Observations of the teaching sessions. Tracking of data and analysis of progress made. Pupil Premium review.	JS RB JG (HB) RE (DT) JS	£12,500 staffing JS to do intervention for more able I afternoon per week. £1,500

To support children with Social, Emotional and Mental Health needs. Children feel more confident and have a more positive outlook, including self-esteem and self- worth.	Referrals will be made to the nurture group leaders from teachers who feel specific pupils would benefit from attending the nurture group. Pupils will attend the nurture group on designated days. Programmes will be devised to cater for the needs of specific pupils. Referrals to be made by the teacher to our counsellor for pupils who are vulnerable. The Boxall profile to be used to identify children who would benefit from having additional support with social, emotional and mental health needs.	Improved social skills and interaction with peer group both in the nurture group and within the classroom. Staff identify a number of pupils who would benefit from SEMH.	All staff have training on the Boxall profile and can implement it accurately. Pupil questionnaires. Parent questionnaires. Staff interviews/questionnaires. The social skills and interaction with peers in the nurture group and within classes will improve. Improved self-esteem, attitude and engagement which in turn has a positive impact on pupil progress. Lesson observations demonstrate improved pupil outcomes. Regular meetings to take place between the counsellor and nurture lead/deputy and class teachers to discuss impact of sessions.	SW/AW/SJ/EC SENCo	Boxall profile training £500 £375 for the Boxall profile. £1400 - staffing
			Total bu	udgeted cost	£16,275
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	
Increased levels of participation in outside the classroom activities ( forest schools/music/clubs)	Timetabled music teacher in school on a regular weekly basis. Pupils to undertake forest school for 5 half day sessions and one whole	Pupils' confidence will improve as they are given opportunities to be involved in musical performances. Forest School has a proven track record of increasing participants' self-esteem, concentration, perseverance and resilience. By engaging in self -directed	Increased levels of participation of a variety of extra- curricular activities. Increased participation ensures pupil engagement and progress. Pupil and parent questionnaires.	КМ DE	£500 £1000

day session du year on a two y		
	Total budgeted cost	£1500

Year			
hing for all Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
ort			
Chosen action/approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
nes			
Chosen action/approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
	enditure enditure above. e Year hing for all Chosen action/approach ort Chosen action/approach hes Chosen	E Year Show whether the success criteria also be referred to, including attain   Chosen action/approach Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Ort Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Ort Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Des Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	outcomes and success criteria will be different to above.   e Year   ning for all   Chosen action/approach   Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Lessons learned may be about impact or implementation. For approach swhich did not meet their success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Chosen action/approach   Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Lessons learned may be about impact or implementation. For approaches which did not meet their success criteria; it is it to assess whether you will continue allocating funding and if sc to assess whether you will continue with this approach)   Determine   Chosen action/approach   Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)   nees   Chosen action/approach   Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.   Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)

## 3. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above. Our full strategy document can be found online at: www.aschool.sch.uk